(*b*) whether in reply to the same the Chief Medical Officer has on 14th April 1947, communicated to them saying that the demand has been included in the scheme submitted by him to the Government;

(*c*) If answer to question (*b*) is in the affirmative, what further action the Government has taken in the matter ?

Mr. C. A. Ouseph (*Minister for Education and Public Health*):

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) The scheme is postponed for the time being.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. കെ. കുഞ്ഞൻകുട്ടിമേനോൻ: ചോദ്യമംഗലത്തു് എതുകൊല്ലത്തിലാണു് ഡിസ്പെൻസറി (Dispensary) ഇറക്കുവാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിക്കുന്നതു്?

മിസ്റ്റർ സി. എ. ഔസേപ്പു്: അതിപ്പോൾ നിശ്ചയിക്കുവാൻ നിവൃത്തിയില്ല.

PADDY PROCUREMENT—CONCESSIONS ALLOWED TO ACTUAL CULTIVATORS—DETAILS OF

214. (17) **Mr. C. V. Iyyu** (*Kunnamkulam*): Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether any Grain Purchase Tahsildar has been allowing the actual cultivators of paddy fields to have a reduction in procurement of at least as much as the seeds for feeding the labourers at noon time till the year;

(*b*) if so, why this system of concessions to actual growers of paddy has been discontinued during the last Virippu harvest season ;

(*c*) whether this will not affect production of paddy ?

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar (*Prime Minister*):

(*a*) No. No reduction in procurement was given for noon feeding as such at any time. Allowance is usually made for payment in kind for agricultural labour in fixing the demand of the cultivator. But in certain firkas of the Talappalli Taluk wages were not paid in kind. Labour was given noon feeding for which only a lesser quantity af paddy was utilised. Such cultivators were, therefore, given half of the paddy usually allowed for vallie There were complaints about this from the local cultivators. Hence full reduction is now given tor the payment of wages in these areas also just like in other areas at the rate of one para of paddy for one para of seed. The agricultural labourer and the cultivator get a real advantage by this arrangement.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of the above answer.

(*c*) Does not arise.

PRESS EMERGENCY ACT—LIST OF PAPERS, ETC., FROM WHICH SECURITY HAS BEEN DEMANDED OR PAPERS, ETC., THAT HAVE BEEN BANNED—DETAILS OF

215. (200) **Mr. C. V. Iyyu** (*Kunnamkulam*): Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) the names of the Newspapers and other journals published from the State from which security has been demanded according to Press Emergency Act—Act 21 of 1123 and the dates of remittance of the amounts demanded;

(*b*) the names of the papers and other journals which have been banned according to the above Act as well as the dates of banning;

(*c*) the date on which the above Act 21 of 1123 was repealed ;

(*d*) whether the amounts of security furnished under the Act 21 of 1123 has been returned to the depositor by this time ;

(*e*) if so, when ;

(*f*) if not, why so much delay ?

Mr. P. Govinda Menon (*Minister for Finance and Law*) :

(*a*) A security amount of Rs. 1,000 was demanded from the editor and publisher of the newspaper "Express" on 6th July 1948/23rd Mithunam 1123 under sub-section (3) of section 7 of the Press Emergency Powers Act. The security was deposited in the Office of the District Magistrate on 2nd Karkadagam 1123.

(*b*) None.

(*c*) The Act was repealed on 27the November 1948.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) The question does not arise.

(*f*) The Act has been repealed only on 27th November 1948 and so there has not been any delay.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly